

Section 1 - General information about stakeholder

1.	Name of the Regional		
	Public Authority:		
2.	Field of activity		
	(bioeconomy):		
3.	Which of these	 Smart and innovative precision farming 	
	priority areas for	☐ Wood sector	
	innovation correspond	□ Biofuel	
	to your area of	□ Other:	
	activity?		
4.	Department:		
5.	Street:		
6.	Town:		
7.	Region:		
8.	Country:		
9.	Website:		
10.	.Representative's		
	name:		
11.	. Position:		
12.	Email:		
13.	.Phone:		
14.	Date of interview:		
15.	Interviewer's name:		
16.	The questionnaire was	Personal	
	administered by:	☐ Telephone interview	
		□ Mail	
		□ Fax	
		□ Online	



17. How important is	
innovation at your	
institution? (How	
many of your current	
staff hold advanced	
degrees or are	
specialists in	
managing intellectual	
property/ technology	
transfer/open	
innovation etc?)	

Section 2 - Technology Transfer Information

18. What is the best way for transferring	Section 2.1 - General activities					
opinion?	for transferring technology in your					
19. Which kind of support services are required specifically by SMEs /HER in relation to technology transfer processes? Assistance schemes supporting spread of technology Public procurement of innovative solutions or products R&D innovation related tax incentives Incentives linking science with industry (includin grants, etc.) Innovation vouchers, cluster policies Technology platforms and forums Long term support strategy for cooperation between industry and public research Support to internationalisation of companies Exchange of best practices Cooperation with stakeholders in industry and public research in European region Specific approaches for different energy-related	services are required specifically by SMEs /HER in relation to technology transfer					





	 Clear government policy and strategy More flexible communication with European region Training for cooperation between industry and public research Other:
20. What technical services does your RPA provide in relation to technology transfer processes?	
21. Do you focus on any specific bioeconomy topic in the support to technology transfer activity of your institution?	YesNoWhich ones?
22. Please indicate the existing regional/national tools (Structural Funds; other Regional Policies) specifically supporting technology transfer and innovation in your area of competence. Please indicate specific measures and tools proposed by the credit	





23. What kind of barriers do you see in technology transfer?

	Not at all	Very limited	Sporadic	Often	Regularly
Lack of financing for projects					
Lack of proper dissemination for R&D facilities					
Lack of knowledge about technology transfer activities					
Lack of communication with authorities					
Lack of communication between research and industry					
Lack of trust between research and industry					
Lack of clear rules and practices					
Lack of Private Public Partnership					
Lack of legislation for innovation & technology transfer					
Lack of innovative thinking at business level					
Lack of entrepreneurial knowledge					
Lack of trained people in the field of bioeconomy					

Section 2.2 - National Cooperation

24. Does your institution cooperate with	□ Yes
national higher education and research	□ No
institutes?	
25.If yes, with how many national higher	□ 1-5
education and research institutes?	□ 6-15
	□ 16-25
	□ > 26
26. How many SMEs does your institution	□ 1-5
cooperate with? (in bioeconomy) What is	□ 6-15
the aim and result of this cooperation?	□ 16-25
	□ > 26
	□ Result:





27. How many big companies does your	□ 1-5
institution cooperate with? (in	□ 6-15
bioeconomy) What is the aim and result	□ 16-25
of this cooperation?	□ > 26
	□ Result:

Section 2.3 International	l cooperation
28. Does your institution have similar partner	□ Yes
institutes in other countries?	□ No
29. Do you have joint projects with them?	□ Yes
	□ No
30.If "Yes", what is the aim of this cooperation?	
31.Do you participate in EU RTDI or	□ Yes
interregional programmes?	□ No
32.If yes, which programmes do you	□ Horizon 2020
participate in?	□ 3 rd Health Programme
	□ COSME
	☐ Promotion of Agricultural
	Products
	Danube TNP
	Central Europe
	EUREKA
	□ EIP Agri
	☐ EIT Food4Future
	□ COST
	Other, please specify:
33. How many projects do you participate in?	
34. What is the amount of funding for your	
institution per year from international	
cooperation?	
35. You consider this amount compared to	very important
your institute's yearly budget (Please	☐ important
continue the sentence by choosing)	\square it is a good
	complementary amount to
	the budget, but we would
	manage without this
	unimportant





Section 3 - Open innovation

36. In your opinion, what exactly is open innovation? How would YOU define it?	
37. Which businesses and organisations in the bioeconomy sector do you see as leading practitioners in Open Innovation and why? (Consider - who are the top 5?)	

38. How is Open Innovation currently used in your organisation? How do you collect information? Which of the following models of Open Innovation have you previously applied? How frequently?

	Frequently	Sometimes	Never
Strategic Alliances			
Tie-ups with Higher Education Institutions			
Collaboration with other companies			
/organisations and/or co-development of			
product /services			
Online portals			
Intermediaries (Knowledge brokers) - TT			
Centres			
Chambers of Commerce, EEN, etc.			
Industry			
Consortiums			
Acquisitions			
Focussed Scouting /Consulting			
Contributions from community			
Licensing			





39. What are the most relevant innovation partnerships of the organisation? Please choose 3 of them.

End-users	
Competitors	
Suppliers	
Universities	
Technology Transfer Centres	
Chamber of Commerce	
Enterprise Europe Network	
Partners from the same industry	
Crowd (crowdsourcing)	
Higher education and research institutes	
Other Regional Public Authorities	
Other (please specify):	

40. Why did you choose to engage with an Open Innovation model? (select more than one response if appropriate)

out the work Wanted to bring "fresh ideas" to benefit from their different approach To become a member of a consortium to obtain eligible status for funding Believed a multidisciplinary approach would produce more successful output To enable exploitation of any IP produced into other non- competing markets Felt it was your only chance of commercialising your ideas Required someone else's IP Cost saving Reputation of partners Other (please specify):	Didn't have the required expertise or capacity in house to carry	
approach To become a member of a consortium to obtain eligible status for funding Believed a multidisciplinary approach would produce more successful output To enable exploitation of any IP produced into other noncompeting markets Felt it was your only chance of commercialising your ideas Required someone else's IP Cost saving Reputation of partners	out the work	
To become a member of a consortium to obtain eligible status for funding Believed a multidisciplinary approach would produce more successful output To enable exploitation of any IP produced into other non-competing markets Felt it was your only chance of commercialising your ideas Required someone else's IP Cost saving Reputation of partners	Wanted to bring "fresh ideas" to benefit from their different	
for funding Believed a multidisciplinary approach would produce more successful output To enable exploitation of any IP produced into other non- competing markets Felt it was your only chance of commercialising your ideas Required someone else's IP Cost saving Reputation of partners	approach	
Believed a multidisciplinary approach would produce more successful output To enable exploitation of any IP produced into other non-competing markets Felt it was your only chance of commercialising your ideas Required someone else's IP Cost saving Reputation of partners	To become a member of a consortium to obtain eligible status	
successful output To enable exploitation of any IP produced into other non- competing markets Felt it was your only chance of commercialising your ideas Required someone else's IP Cost saving Reputation of partners	for funding	
To enable exploitation of any IP produced into other non- competing markets Felt it was your only chance of commercialising your ideas Required someone else's IP Cost saving Reputation of partners	Believed a multidisciplinary approach would produce more	
competing markets Felt it was your only chance of commercialising your ideas Required someone else's IP Cost saving Reputation of partners	successful output	
Felt it was your only chance of commercialising your ideas Required someone else's IP Cost saving Reputation of partners	To enable exploitation of any IP produced into other non-	
Required someone else's IP Cost saving Reputation of partners	competing markets	
Cost saving Reputation of partners	Felt it was your only chance of commercialising your ideas	
Reputation of partners	Required someone else's IP	
<u> </u>	Cost saving	
Other (please specify):	Reputation of partners	
1	Other (please specify):	





41. Has the way you use Open Innovation changed from today compared to 3 - 5 years ago? Why?	
42.Do you have any success stories to share? What were the key points of success, and which bottlenecks could you overcome?	
43. How do you plan to make use of open innovation in the next 3 -5 years? How could it have the most positive impact on your organisation?	

